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A study of patients presenting to an emergency department having had a “spiked drink”

Hywel Hughes, Rachael Peters, Gareth Davies, Keith Griffiths

METHODS

A prospective study was performed over a 12-month period starting from October 2004. All patients >16 years of age who presented to the emergency department alleging that their drink had been spiked were entered into the study. The entry into the study was based purely on the patients’ opinion that their drink had been spiked, not on the opinion of the health professionals looking after the patient or the presentation of the patient.

RESULTS

A total of 75 patients presented during the 12-month period with 68% (n = 51) being female. Figure 1 shows the age range of the patients.

The local research ethics committee granted ethical approval.

The patient was provided with details of the study and its aims. Samples of blood (for blood alcohol level) and urine (for analysis of drugs of misuse) were obtained. These were sent for analysis with the patients’ informed consent given either at the time of presentation or at the time of the follow-up telephone questionnaire.

A follow-up telephone questionnaire was performed a few days later. Information was collected as to where the alleged spiking took place, whether the police had been informed and their use of medication or drugs at the time in question or on a regular basis.

The samples were analysed for blood alcohol level and the presence of any of the following: cocaine, amphetamine, cannabinoid, opiates, methadone, benzodiazepines, ketamine, diphenhydramine, GHB and rohypnol.

The females outnumbered the males in every age group.

Most patients (82%) attended the department on a weekend, with 50 (66%) attending between 10 pm and 3 am. After being seen by the doctor, 66 of the patients were discharged from the department, 6 were admitted and 3 self-discharged before assessment. The 6 patients were admitted because of the degree of intoxication/agitation (n = 4), social reasons (n = 1) and per vaginal bleeding (n = 1).

A total of 42 urine samples were obtained and analysed (56% of study group) and tested positive for drugs of misuse in only 8 (19%) cases (box 1). No one tested positive for rohypnol or GHB.

A total of 34 blood samples were obtained and analysed for the presence of alcohol, with a limit of detection of 10 mg/dl, and tested positive in 32 (94%) cases. The results confirmed (table 1) that a large number of those tested had a high blood alcohol level. It also shows that the patients who tested positive for drugs of misuse had alcohol levels distributed across the

Abbreviation: GHB, γ-hydroxybutyrate
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A recent study made by the Regional Laboratory for Toxicology at Birmingham reported an increasing number of requests received for toxicological analysis of individuals presenting to their general practitioner or hospital after self-reported or suspected surreptitious drug administration. Of the 169 samples analysed between 2002 and 2004, only 73 (43%) tested positive for drugs or alcohol, with 29 testing positive for alcohol alone. Where drugs were detected, the most involved common drugs of misuse. Neither GHB nor flunitrazepam was detected in the samples analysed.

The results of our study also show that most patients presenting to the emergency department, claiming that their drink has been spiked, will test negative for drugs of misuse. Our study showed a much higher detection rate of alcohol, which is likely owing to the timing of the sample taken. The patients’ symptoms may well have been the result of excess alcohol. A number of these patients probably had their drinks spiked with alcohol, but this is difficult to determine. Claiming that their drink has been spiked may also be used as an excuse by patients who have become incapacitated after the voluntary consumption of excess alcohol.

Most of the patients presented on the night of the alleged incident so even drugs with a short detection window (18 h for GHB) should still have been detected. A few patients who presented after this time period may have tested negative despite their drinks having been spiked.

The patients with positive results did not differ from those who tested negative as regards the clinical findings and symptoms. All the patients with positive results were discharged from the department, as the decision to admit was made on clinical grounds rather than on a positive test, which was returned much later.

The drugs of misuse detected in our study were opiates (codeine and morphine), amphetamines, ecstasy and cocaine. No ketamine, GHB or rohypnol was found in the samples, which suggests that they are not commonly used in this area to spike drinks. On questioning, none of the patients with positive results admitted knowingly taking drugs of misuse before presentation or being on any regular medication.

Only 14% of patients in our study had informed the police about their alleged spiked drink. In 2005, the police in northeast Wales had recorded only three cases of alleged spiked drinks (personnel communication). So it is likely that the police are unaware of the scale of the problem. The numbers in this study are likely to be an under-representation of the true scale of the problem in the community as many may not seek medical help or may seek alternative forms of advice and support. This is evidenced by five of the patients having friends also affected at the same time but not attending the department.

The places identified as being a particular problem for suspected drink spiking are also the busiest establishments in the town centre on a weekend. The positive results did not imply any particular establishment as having a problem. This may be because of our low numbers. Departments receiving larger numbers of patients with suspected drink spiking might identify establishments in their area as having a problem.

Collecting data like this could help the police target their resources on those establishments which seem to have a problem with spiked drinks.

There have been a number of publicity campaigns in recent years to raise the awareness of drink spiking. Emphasis should also be laid on how excess alcohol consumption makes people more vulnerable to assaults and injury.

In conclusion, this study confirmed our suspicion that most of the patients presenting with suspected drink spiking would test negative for drugs of misuse. We could also pass on

**DISCUSSION**

range. All except one patient admitted to having drunk alcohol before the attendance.

A total of 49 (65%) patients completed the telephone questionnaire (42 had provided samples for analysis, 7 had not done so).

The alleged spiking took place in 23 different places. Only four places were mentioned on more than two occasions, with two nightclubs mentioned eight and seven times each.

The eight patients with positive results gave seven different locations at which the spiking took place.

Five patients had friends who had allegedly been spiked at the same time but had not attended the department.

Seven patients (14%) had informed the police. Three had experienced an alleged episode of spiking in the past (one had successfully prosecuted the person responsible).

**Box 1 Drugs of misuse found in samples**

| Patient 1 | amphetamine (methylenedioxyamphetamine), cocaine |
| Patient 2 | amphetamine (methylenedioxyamphetamine, metamphetamine) |
| Patient 3 | amphetamine |
| Patient 4 | amphetamine |
| Patient 5 | opiate (Morphine, codeine) |
| Patient 6 | opiate (Morphine) |
| Patient 7 | opiate (Codeine) |
| Patient 8 | cocaine |
anonymous information to the police as to the scale of the
time as we see it. We were also able to highlight possible
establishments, that may have a problem with alleged drink
spiking.
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